

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,679 01/18/2001		Hideki Sato	1232-4673	6288	
27123 7.	590 09/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER		ROBINSON, MARK A			
	NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK
71/

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/764,679	SATO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mark A. Robinson	2872		

Advisory Action	09/764,679	SATO ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark A. Robinson	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A						
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin		in the Control of the control	daha da lakar da			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr in ally set in the final Office.	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.14.		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		Amphant Amendment	(I TOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 21-25.		ill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>4,8-16 and 18-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	MARK	No(s) A. HOBINSON ARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new issues are at least the amendments concerning the modulating, scanning, and/or illuminating devices.